

# SUMMARIA ANTIQUA CODICIS THEODOSIANI

(ex fragmentis Taurinensibus)

d'après l'édition de P. Krüger,  
*Codicis Theodosiani fragmenta Taurinensia*,  
Abhandlungen der  
Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften  
zu Berlin, 1879,  
Phil. und hist. Klasse Abh. II  
(Berlin 1880)

## Note sur le texte

Dans les dits *fragmenta Taurinensia* du Code Théodosien se trouvent aussi quelques gloses. Il s'agit d'un manuscrit du Code Théodosien, écrit dans une onciale du début du VI<sup>e</sup> siècle, qui a été utilisé de nouveau au VIII<sup>e</sup> siècle à Bobbio. Selon Lowe l'origine du manuscrit est très probablement l'Italie. Dans les marges on trouve quelques gloses dans une petite onciale cursive pleine d'abréviations. Elles contiennent souvent des renvois simples et ressemblent aux gloses de S2 dans le ms. Vat. reg. Lat. 886. C'est Krüger qui nous a fourni une transcription complète, avec les gloses. Les fragments sont perdus en 1904 à cause d'une incendie à la Bibliothèque de Turin.<sup>1</sup> Nous donnons une copie de l'apographum de Krüger de ces gloses, accompagnée de l'interprétation de Mommsen.<sup>2</sup> Il faut se rendre compte que probablement les lacunes ne sont pas des lacunes véritables, mais qu'elles sont dues au fait que Krüger ne pouvait pas lire le palimpseste. Il lui manquait, contrairement au texte des constitutions, un modèle pour vérifier la lecture incertaine.

<sup>1</sup> Pour la description et une bibliographie complète v. CLA IV 440.

<sup>2</sup> *Theodosiani libri XVI cum constitutionibus Sirmondianis edidit adsumpto apparatu P. Kruegeri Th. Mommsen*, Vol. I Pars prior, Prolegomena, Berolini 1904, p. XLII: à base de l'apographum de Krüger.

ad CTh 1.2.R (fol. 1 Verso):

| NIPUJDULTUM<br>sum exemplum<br>n graegit. v. | TACUL<br>?? DE<br>I M P C<br>TION | uni pū idultum<br>secun? d(?)um exemplum<br>n graeg lib u |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
|--|-----------------------------------|---|

[---]in p(ro)u( ) i(n)dultum/ [---]sum exemplum/ [---]n graeg( ) lib(ro)  
.u.<sup>1</sup>

ad CTh 2.8.26 (fol. 5 Verso):

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <i>A i e t i g n e s f i</i><br><i>llo s p o r o</i><br><i>p n e s s u p</i><br><i>F ap s a c g n i</i><br><i>iii t u l t i m a</i><br><i>p a n d o a u r</i><br><i>iiib enan de pacto</i><br><i>ā</i><br><b>N</b> | XXVIIID ĀĀIob<br>quo iud<br>qui d a i<br>modis<br>ce tera<br>VIIIID<br>I M P C O N<br>ACTIONI | <i>a i(?) ē i g n e s fi</i><br><i>llo s p o r o</i><br><i>p n e s s u p</i><br><i>t o n i</i><br><i>f ap sac gni</i><br><i>iii t o ultima</i><br><i>pando a ur</i><br><i>iib III enan</i><br><i>de pacto</i><br><i>ā</i> |
|--|---|---|

[---](tio)nes fi/ [---]llo s p(ro) oro/ [---] (con)nes sup/[er- ---] t  
(constitutio)ni/ [---]f ap(ro) s ac(tio)nis/ [---] iii t(ituli?) (constitutio) ul-  
tima/ [---]pando a ur/ [---] lib( ) iii enan/ [---] de pacto

<sup>1</sup> graeg: (codicis/corporis) Gregoriani?

ad CTh 3.5.10 (fol. 6 Verso):

τετ δ

~~οεγ<sup>ο</sup> ταχε<sup>ε</sup>τη<sup>η</sup> ποστεριο<sup>κ</sup>~~ ~~χ~~ μ<sup>π</sup> π<sup>π</sup>

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{οεγ ia q e et posterior} \\ \text{n (vel u) t i j v} \end{array} \right.$

....oc con(stituti)o ia(?) q(uae) e(st) et posterior | .....u (vel n) t(it.) 1  
con(stituti)o V (Mommsen ad CTh 3.5.10)

[---]o c(onstitu)(tio) ia q(uae) e(st) et posterior/ [---]n t(it.?) i (constitu-  
tio) u

ad CTh 4.6.5 (fol. 8 Verso):

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <del>αεα<sup>α</sup> σ<sup>η</sup> ινφρα<sup>α</sup> κα<sup>α</sup> δ<sup>α</sup> /<br/>οπεν<sup>η</sup> λεγ<sup>η</sup> ιτυ<sup>η</sup> ιν<sup>η</sup> λι<sup>η</sup> β<sup>η</sup></del> | <span style="font-size: small;">CONS</span><br><span style="font-size: small;">CIPIT</span><br><span style="font-size: small;">M RATO</span><br><span style="font-size: small;">CIPIT</span> |
| <del>equa<sup>η</sup></del><br><del>"</del>  | <del>xu</del>  |

gr? aeca cg infra qu(?)ad(?)r(?)a(?)  
 { o (vel m vel ) petit leg(?)it(?)u(?)r(?) in(?) l(?)i(?)b xu  
 { e qua m(?)  
 { ii

[Gr]aeca constitutio infra qu(?)ad(?)r(?)a(?) | ... competit leg(?)it(?)u(?)r(?)  
 in(?)l(?)ib xu\*\* | ....e qua m(?) ii (Mommsen ad CTh 4.6.5)

[---]aeca c(onstitu)(tio) infra quadra/[---](con)petit legitur in lib(ro) xu/  
 [---] ii m<sup>1</sup>

ad CTh 9.1.15 (fol. 29 Verso):

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <del>ακκουσα<sup>η</sup> πι<sup>η</sup> αρ<sup>η</sup></del><br><del>q.p</del><br><del>LUSTI</del> | <del>xv δ AAA</del><br><span style="font-size: small;">accusari p(?)a(?)r(?) q. p</span> |
|--|--|

[---] accusari par q(ue)p

<sup>1</sup> quadra[gesim- ]?

ad CTh 9.1.19 (fol. 29 Verso):

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| $\{ \text{pon } l \text{ p sei xreb}$<br><i>lem</i> | $\Delta \text{P}$<br><i>c i A f u g ;</i><br><i>d a t i s f i</i> | $\{ \text{pon } l \text{ r sei xreb}$<br><i>an...<br/>lem</i> |
|---|---|---|

[--].on l r sei xreb an/ [--] lem

ad CTh 9.20.R (fol. 31 Recto):

*iviliter crim*

uictus ciuiliter crim[inaliter ---]<sup>1</sup>

ad CTh 9.24.1 (fol. 32 Verso):

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| $\text{N} \text{ MULIERES TESTIMONIUM}$<br><i>DICERE N POSSE</i> | $\text{U} \text{mp} \text{ co}$<br><i>pect</i><br><i>exe</i><br><i>? 061</i><br><i>IUDIC</i> | $\bar{\text{N}}$ mulieres testimonium<br>dicere n posse |
|--|--|---|

n(ota?) mulieres testimonium/ dicere n(on) posse

ad CTh 9.34.9 (fol. 33 Recto):

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| <i>ENDERITAUTDIS</i><br><i>RINUENTUM</i><br><i>OQNOUERITNA.</i> | <i>PROFITERIDICEREIN</i> |
|---|--------------------------|

profiteri dicere in[--]

<sup>1</sup> suivi par, par exemple, agere possit.

W.M. Lindsay

The Notae Iuris of Vat. Reg. 886

(publié dans: Mélanges offerts à M. E. Chatelain,  
15 Avril 1910, Paris 1910, p. 155-162)

W. M. LINDSAY

## THE NOTAE IURIS OF VAT. REG. 886

The marginal summaries of Vat. Reg. 886 (Codex Theodosianus, written in half-uncials), omitted in the Berlin edition of the Codex Theodosianus, have been published, very inaccurately (see Winstedt in *Class. Phil.* 1,399), in the "Studi Senesi" III-V (Siena, 1887-9), under the title "Carolus Manentius: Antiqua Summaria Codicis Theodosiani ex codice Vaticano iam primum anno MDCCCXXXIV a Gustavo Haenelio edita cum codice Vaticano singillatim noviter collata". These marginalia abound with Notae Iuris, of which I made as full a collection as I could in a short visit to Rome last year. In the following list I omit capricious and occasional suspensions, such as *karthag* "Karthaginis" (ad x 1 30, 32), as well as such common epigraphic symbols as *KL* (or *KAL*), with oblique cross-stroke through *L*, "Kalendae"- "arum", etc. Since the form of the letters and the position of the contraction-strokes often change with a change of scribe, I have sacrificed to typographical convenience the exact reproduction of most of the symbols. A letter is often superscript in these marginalia for the sake of saving space merely, e.g. *genrale* (fol. 70 v., where the third syllable of the word begins a new line), *suffrago* (fol. 227 v., in the middle of a line). This practice must have been productive of error in transcription.

ā 'aut', passim. Ad xii 1, 33 (si XII iugerum sint possessores... sin minus a. xxv iugeribus habuerint) the Preposition 'a', in its late Latin use after a Comparative, is apparently meant. (Or 'agri'?)

Ad xii 1, 102 (contra eos qui sunt ā obnoxii curiae non valere) is the symbol inserted in error?

Ad xi 30,64 (si ā infirmitate praegravetur ā iudex) 'aut' is probably meant in both cases, but the double 'aut' is an error of the scribe, or perhaps of the corrector, who has intervened here.

ān 'ante', frequent.

āp 'apud', passim.

app 'appello', etc., 'appellatio', etc., e. g. ad xiii, 30, 51 *appellationem*; ad xi, 30, 56  
qui *appellationem* offert... quodsi poenituerit *appellatio*; ad xi, 30, 58 *appellare*;  
ad xi, 30, 63 *appellationis*; ad xi, 30, 68 *appellari*; ad xi, 36, 3 *appellans* (?)

at 'autem', passim.

b̄ 'bona', '-nis', etc. (e. g. ad x 8, 5 b̄ 'bonorum', with, apparently, the 'um'-symbol.)

c̄ 'causa', '-ae', etc. (e. g. ad xi 30, 9 *causam*; ad x 4, 3 in *criminalibus causis*; ad x 9, 3 *cumcausis omnibus*). Also cs 'causas' (eg. ad xii 1, 23), c̄s 'causis', cm (also c. m, e. g. ad xi 30, 16) 'causam', cr 'causarum' (e. g. ad xi 30, 11), etc.

'com 'comes', '-itis', etc. (but sometimes c̄, e. g. ad xi, 30, 45) where the c̄. rp of the marginalia repeats the AD PELAGIUM C(omitem) R(erum) P(rivatarum) of the heading of the law. Hence comp 'c. privataram' (e. g. ad x 3, 7; x 8, 2, and com rp (e. g. ad xi 30, 49).

cōns 'consul', '-lis', etc.

c̄ 'cum' (also in 'quicunque', etc.), passim.

đ 'constitutio', '-onis', etc., passim.

§ and ȳ 'contra', frequent (Also 'contro-' in 'controversia', ( e. g. ad xi 30, 55). Also expressed by the 'con' symbol followed by the 'tra' -symbol (see below).

d̄ 'dicit', e, g, ad ix, 42, 16; ad x, 1, 16; ad xi 30, 49; ad xii 1, 2. Not unlike, but with the oblique stroke crossing the middle of the letter, is the symbol for 'die' (ad xii 1, 53).

diac, diā 'diaconus', '-ni', etc.

dns 'dominus', dn̄i 'domini', dnō 'domino', etc. Also domns 'dominus' (e. g. ad x 10, 27).

ē 'est', ēē 'esse', ēēt 'esset', etc., passim. Hence idē 'id est' (e. g. ad x 1, 6; ad xi 31, 9).

e N̄J 'enim' (e. g. ad xii, 1, 22); but also wanting the e (ad xi, 36, 19 in *nona enim de debitoribus locutus est, hic de rationes non redditibus*).

ēpscm 'episcopum' (e. g. ad xi, 36, 20. Also ēpsm, e. g. ad xi, 39, 8); epscōs 'episcopos'; ēpscālis 'episcopal', etc.

ēt 'etiam', passim.

fīdc 'fidei commissum', -ī', etc., sometimes f̄c (e. g. fol. 102 r).

h' 'hoc' (e. g. ad xii, 1, 59 nec *hoc* nec *illud*; ad xii, 1, 104).

h̄ 'heredes', h̄.b 'heredibus', etc., frequent.

‡ 'inter', passim.

'id est' (see 'est').

imp 'imperator', '-ris', etc., passim.

k̄ap 'kapitulum', '-li', etc., e. g. fol. 65 v in tertio *kapitulo* (or *capite*?).

m̄ag 'magistratus', '-ui', etc. (e. g. ad xii, 1, 29). But *Iudimag* 'Iudimagistros' (ad xii, 3, 10).

m̄ 'modo' passim. Sometimes 'modum' (or else 'modo' by error for 'modum'), e. g. q. madm̄ 'quemadmodum' (fol. 110 r, etc.).

N 'nam' (also in 'personam', etc.), passim.

n̄ 'non', passim.

NJ 'nihil', passim.

NS 'nisi', passim.

(On the monogram of N and I as symbol of 'enim', see above, s. v.).

n̄ 'nunc', passim.

n̄ 'nota' (Imperative of 'notare'), passim. (The marginalia in which this symbol appears are not however the marginal summaries.)

num/o 'numero'.

ō 'oportere' (e. g. ad xi 30, 36, xii 1, 66, etc.). Often ōre (e. g. ad xii 1, 77; ad xii 1, 87).

We seem to find ō 'oportet' ('-teat'), ad xiii 3, 5 doctores quales esse ō insinuat.

p̄ 'prae', passim.

'privatus' (see under 'res', 'comes').

'praetorium' (see under 'praefectus').

'publicus' (see under 'res').

'praefectus' (sec below, s. v.).

p̄ 'post' passim. Also for 'pos' (e. g. *possessio*, *possum*).

$\mathbb{P}$  'per' passim.

$\mathcal{P}$  'pro', passim. The first two syllables of 'proprius' are often expressed by this symbol followed by the 'pri'-symbol (see below).

$\bar{p}at$  'patrimonium' (abso  $\bar{p}at$  m, e. g. ad xii, 1, 49), 'patrimonialis' (ad  $\dot{x}$ , 10, 24 pos-  
sessions templorum reipublicae vel *patrimoniales* (?), quae subtractae sunt de  
isdem).

$\bar{p}ec$  'pecunia', '-ae', etc. (e. g. ad xii, 1, 6).

$\bar{p}$  'potest' (e. g. ad xi, 30, 36) Ad xi, 30, 11 (prohibet omnes proponere quod probare  
non  $p$ ) does the symbol mean 'possunt'? Or should 'probare' be 'probari'? The  
words of the law are 'quod quis probari posse desperet'.

$\bar{p}ot$  (sometimes  $\bar{p}otest$ ) 'potestas', '-tatis' etc. (e. g. ad ix, 43, 1 *potestate*).

$\bar{p}f$  (and  $\bar{p}ref$ ) 'praefectus', '-ti, etc. Also  $\bar{p}fis$  'praefectis',  $\bar{p}fos$  'praefectos', etc. Also  
 $\bar{p}f$   $\bar{p}$  'praefectus praetorio';  $\bar{p}ur$ , 'praefectus urbis', sometimes written  $\bar{p}f\bar{u}$  (e. g.  
ad xi 30, 67) and  $\bar{p}u$  (e. g. ad. xi 30, 13 non ad principem sed ad *praefectum urbis*;  
ad xi 34, 2).

$\bar{p}re\bar{b}$  'presbyter', '-ri', etc., e. g. ad xii 1, 49 *presbyteris*.

$\bar{p}p$  and  $\bar{p}p$  'propter', frequent.

$\bar{p}oc$  'proconsul', '-lis' etc.

$\bar{p}c$  'procurator', '-ris' etc. (e. g. ad x, 1, 17 *procuratoris*; ad ix 42, 20 *procuratore*;  
ad xii 1, 20 *procuratores*);  $\bar{p}cb$  'procuratoribus' (e. g. ad xii, 1, 6).

$\bar{p}u$ ,  $\bar{p}rou$  'provincia', 'provincialis' (ad ix, 23, 1 ut neque pecunias vendendi causa  
ab aliquo conflentur *provinciali* (?) et ut sit custodia itinerum),  $\bar{p}us$  'provincias',  
 $\bar{p}uis$  'provinciis',  $\bar{p}ules$  'provinciales',  $\bar{p}ub$  and  $\bar{p}uli$  'provincialibus', etc.  
prout' (see below, 'ut').

(For 'proprius', see under 'pro').

$\bar{p}ub$  'publicus', '-a', '-um', etc. Also  $\bar{p}abl$ ,  $\bar{p}abc$ ,  $\bar{p}blc$ .

$q$  'que', passim. Hence  $q$ .  $m$  'quem', frequent.

$\bar{q}$  'quae', passim. Also  $qe$  sometimes and (by error)  $q$ . (e. g. ad x 1, 8).

$\mathcal{Q}$  'quam' passim. The flourish at the top of the cross-line is often omitted. Ad x 1, 6  
(ut intra annum quisque experiatur) this symbol (wanting the flourish) denotes

'que' (by error?). When doubled, 'quamquam' is denoted (e. g. ad xii 4, 52 *quamquam res comparaverunt*).

ꝝ 'quod', passim.

ꝑ 'qua' (also in 'aequalis', etc.), frequent. On the use (by error?) of this symbol, for 'quia', see below. Ad xii 4, 106 (*curiales honoribus perfunctos ꝑ debent patriae persolvant*) 'qua', in its late Latin use for 'quae', is, I think, meant.

ꝑ 'qui', passim. Ad xi 36, 31 'officium... ꝑ confessos debitum appellare permisit' corresponds to 'officium quod rettulit provocare convictos vel appellare confessos', in the law itself.

ꝑ 'quia', e. g. ad x 10, 29 *quia non debet*; ad xi 39, 8; ad xii 4, 63. Also ꝑa. Also ꝑ (by error?), e. g. fol. 107 v.

A symbol resembling the carolingian 'quia'-symbol occurs sometimes in phrases like this (cf. Winstedt in Class. Phil. 1, 402): ad xi 36, 30 *similis? nonae (vel-na) est, sed hic absolute de debitoribus iussit et xxx tituli similis est legi vii et xx*. It should mean something like 'constitutio'. Perhaps 'ordinatio' is meant; and the letters really are OR in ligature: I noted elsewhere (ad xiv 4, 4) the shaftless form of r after o.

ꝑb 'quibus', frequent. Sometimes the letter i is written above. Sometimes the first syllable is written in full, and the second is expressed by b with the oblique cross-stroke.

ꝑs seems to denote 'quoties' ad x 13, 4 *haec lex iudicibus data est ut, quoties causa fisci geritur, probatos causidicos tribuant*. The words of the law are 'ut privatae rei nostrae, quotienscumque aliquas vel denuntiaverit vel exceperit actiones, idoneos tribuant 'advocatos'. Similarly, I think, ad xi 39, 6 ꝑs *scriptura (corr. — am) dicitur falsa (corr. — am) esse*.

ꝑr 'res' (also in 'restituo', 'possessores', etc.), passim, etc. The capital form of the letter is often used. This symbol is used (by error?) for 're', ad xi 30, 41 *pro qua re instrumenta causarum in iudiciis proferre necesse est*. Also (by error?) for '-rum', ad xiv 3, 3 '*pistorum (vix-'ris') casas*'. It seems to represent 'responsa' ad xii 4, 50 (*quattuor responsa reddidit*); but the opening words stand in rasura.

R· 'rem' (also in 'possessorem', etc.), passim. Ad xii 4, 84 *desertoR·* seems to be 'desertores'; it can hardly be 'desertorem'!

ꝑp 'res privata' (in all cases) e. g. *reiprivatae*, ad x 10, 14. Similarly *reip·rei privatæ*, e. g. ad x 10, 15.

'res publica' (in all cases). Similarly *reip·reipublicae*, e. g. ad xi 31, 2.

The awkwardness of the Notae Iuris is clearly seen in this symbol, as it appears,

for example, ad xii 1, 46 fugiens magistratus honorem, si pertinacia permanserint, ordinati ipsorum bona capiant... similis modo in omnibus qui fugiunt reip<sup>l</sup> functiones. Only a reference to the words of the law can show us that 'rei publicae', and not 'rei privatae', is meant.

s' and s, 'sed', passim.

s sunt', passim.

<sup>1</sup> s 'sint', e. g. ad xii 1, 49, etc. Similarly p' s 'possint', e. g. ad xii 1, 29 quo possint expensas... restituere.

scae (etc.) 'sanctae', (etc.), frequent.

sent 'sententia', '-ae', etc. (e. g. ad xi 30, 50). Also sen (e. g. ad xi 36, 17). Also sentent (e. g. ad xi 36, 23).

signf 'significat' (e. g. ad xi 36, 1).

sim 'similis', '-li', etc., e. g. fol. 20 r. *similis* infra tituli xxvi... constitutioni (written in full on fol. 23 r. *similis* superiori); fol. 129 v. nota *similem* eodem libro tituli v constitutionem.

so  $\not\backslash$  'solidi', '-dorum', etc. Sometimes the capital form of l is used.

sp 'spectabilis', '-li', etc., e. g. fol. 20 r. sive *spectabilis* sive clarissimus.

ss 'suprascriptus', '-ta', etc. Also ssis (etc.) 'suprascriptis' (etc.), e. g. ad x 10, 25. Possibly also 'superius', e. g. ad. xiii 1, 15 potentem defendantem negotiatorem ss dictum ad solutionem constringi praecepit. Hardly also 'superior', '-ris', etc., e. g. ad x 4, 2 quod nunc ablatum est per *superiorem* (*suprascriptam*?) constitutionem divi Iuliani'.

$\mathcal{Z}$  'ter' (also in 'tertius', 'similiter', etc.), passim.

t 'tum' (also in 'additum' etc., and even with the ligature of N and T in 'tantum' ad xii 1, 41; 'quintum', ad xii 1, 95), passim. On the use of the same symbol for the syllables *tur* and *tus*, see below.

$\tau$  'titulus', '-li', etc., passim.

t 'tunc', passim.

Ad xiv 3, 5 tem (written without contraction-stroke, for 'tempore') is probably a mere error.

test 'testamentum', '-ti'; etc., e. g. ad xi 36,26. Also tes, e. g. ad ix 43, 1.

tm 'tamen', frequent. Ad xii 1, 75 (ii et xii similis. adclamatione (*ex concl-*) tm civium si probati fuerint laborasse, honores, quos meruerint, sortiantur) 'tamen', not 'tantum', is, I think, meant.

tns 'trans' (see the syllable symbol 'ra', below).

u 'vel', passim.

'ver' (also in 'verum', 'accusaverit', etc.), passim. Ad xii 1,55 it denotes 'verum' (by error?)

'ut', passim. In this symbol the horizontal stroke properly stands above the second shaft of the letter. The symbol may therefore be called a ligature of *u* and *t* (*T*) like the well-known ligature of *N* and *T*.

u 'vero', passim.

uxis (etc.) 'uxoris' (etc.), e. g. ad xii 1, 124; ad xiv 3, 2.

xpi 'Christi-' (in 'Christianus', etc.)

#### *Syllable-Symbols.*

d Final *m* may be expressed (really in ligature) by a wavy stroke above the preceding vowel, e. g. fol. 183 r. 'opinionem' (at the end of a line).

'con'. The reverted *c*-form is not so common as the form shown in the symbol of 'constitutio' (see above).

'am' (see 'nam', 'quam', above).

bus (see 'us').

em. Like R 'rem' (see above) is d. 'dem' (e. g. ad xii 1, 29 'eisdem') and N 'nem' (e. g. ad xii 1, 74 'electionem'; ad ix 42, 14 'mentionem'; ad ix 42, 15 'donationem, etc.).

'er' (see 'numero', 'per', 'ter', 'ver', above). As common as 'ter,' 'ver,' 'per' are b 'ber', f 'fer', ff 'ser'.

'or' (see 'uxor', above).

ra, e. g. f 'fra', g 'gra', t 'tra'.

re, e. g. c 'cre', g 'gre', t 'tre'.

'ri' e. g. c 'cri', p 'pri' t 'tri'.

'ro' e. g. g 'gro' t 'tro' (e. g. 'patronos', ad xii 4, 64).

'runt' (see 'um'),

9 'tio'.

'um' (see 'cum', 'tumi', above). Very common is R 'rum' (whence R t 'runt'). Occasionally with the minuscule form of the letter, so that 'rum' and 'ri' are very similar in appearance.

'ur'. Often t 'tur' the same symbol as denotes 'tum' and 'tus'.

'us'. Sometimes B (e. g. ad. xii 1, 85 'duabus'); but usually  $\mathcal{K}$  represents 'bus'. Similarly  $\mathfrak{yf}$  is found for 'mus' (e. g. 'adstamus' fol. 81 r). Sometimes v 'tus' (e. g. ad xii 1, 66 'exemplus'; ad xii 1, 74 'factus').

---